

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20241 www.uspto.gov

Washington, D.C., 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/863,137 05/22/2001 James W. Gautsch QBIO1140-2 1270

7590 04/24/2003

Lisa A Haile J.D. Ph.D Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP 4365 Executive Drive, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92121-2133 PRATS, FRANCISCO CHANDLER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1651
DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/863,137		GAUTSCH ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Francisco C Prat		1651		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from th b become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timel e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) 🗾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	March 2003 .				
2a)[<u>·</u>		is action is non-fi	nal.			
3)						
•		_				
· ·	Claim(s) <u>30-50</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	6) Claim(s) 30-50 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election require	ment.			
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)□ object	ed to by the Exam	iner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office ac	tion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived in Applicatio	n No		
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	I7.2(a)).		Stage	
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		·		l application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applicati	on has been rece	ived.	,	
Attachment	•	- Firency amount				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/863,137 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed March 11, 2003 (certificate of mailing March 6, 2003), has been received and entered. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claims 1-20 have been cancelled.

Claims 30-50 have been added.

Claims 30-50 are pending and are examined on the merits.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 7 and 8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,235,501. Specifically, although they depend from independent claims having differing text, claim 32 recites

Application/Control Number: 09/863,137 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

the identical subject matter as claim 7 of the '501 patent, and claim 34 recites the identical subject matter as claim 8 of the '501 patent. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with $37\ \text{CFR}\ 3.73\ \text{(b)}$.

Claims 30-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,235,501, in view of Melendez et al (U.S. Pat. 5,464,773) and Murphy et al (EPO 0 288 618).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, as amended, the claims under examination differ from the patented claims only in the examined claims' recitation requiring the particles to be

Application/Control Number: 09/863,137 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

identical or vary in size, shape and density when the medium comprises more than one particle. The prior art clearly discloses the use of sand, which is inherently comprised of particles having differing sizes, shapes and densities, as well as the use of a wide variety of other particles which may be used as cell disruption materials in processes of the type recited in the patented claims. See Melendez at column 2, lines 14-24; see Murphy at page 11, line 53 through page 13, line 2. Thus, the artisan of ordinary skill at the time of applicant's invention clearly would have considered the instant claims obvious in view of the patented claims. A terminal disclaimer is clearly required.

All of applicant's argument submitted March 11, 2003, has been fully considered but is not persuasive of error. For the reasons discussed above, a holding of statutory double patenting is required because certain of the amended claims under examination encompass subject matter identical to that recited in the patented claims. Moreover, in view of the fact that the subject matter recited in the amended claims is obvious in view of the subject matter recited in the patented claims, a rejection based on the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is also required.

Art Unit: 1651

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francisco C Prats whose telephone number is 703-308-3665. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 09/863,137 Page 6
Art Unit: 1651

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Mangisco C Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

FCP April 22, 2003